



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: YANG, Tsun-Neng

SERIAL NO.: 10/688,502

ART UNIT: 2815

FILED: October 17, 2003

EXAMINER: Brock II, P.E.

TITLE: LIGHT-EMITTING DEVICE WITH A CURRENT BLOCKING STRUCTURE AND METHOD FOR MAKING THE SAME

AMENDMENT "B"

Director of the U.S. Patent  
and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of June 29, 2005, a response being due by September 29, 2005, please consider the following remarks:

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendments, previous Claims 18 - 21 have been canceled and new Claims 22 - 24 substituted therefor. Reconsideration of the rejections, in light of the forgoing amendments and present remarks, is respectfully requested. The present amendments have been entered for the purpose of placing the application into a proper condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, it was indicated that Claims 18, 19 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Matsumoto patent publication in view of the Kung patent. Importantly, the Examiner has indicated that Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Although Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's prior art rejections, Applicant is desirous of securing patent protection for the present invention at an early date. As such, Applicant has placed the "objected to" claim into a proper independent form.

Specifically, new independent Claim 22 incorporates the limitations of previous independent Claim 18 along with the limitation of objected-to Claim 20. As such, independent Claim 22 should now be in a proper condition for allowance. Dependent Claim 23 reflects the limitations of previous dependent Claim 19. Dependent Claim 24 reflects the limitations of previous dependent Claim 21.

Based upon the foregoing analysis, Applicant contends that independent Claim 22 is now in proper condition for allowance. Additionally, those claims which are dependent upon Claim 22 should also be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims at an early date is earnestly solicited. Since no new claims have been added above those originally paid for, no additional fee is required.

Respectfully submitted,

8.30.05  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
John S. Egbert  
Reg. No. 30,627  
Andrew W. Chu  
Reg. No. 46,625  
Attorney for Applicant  
Harrison & Egbert  
412 Main Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002  
(713)224-8080  
(713)223-4873 fax